



Established 1957

AUSTRALIAN SHEPHERD CLUB OF AMERICA, INC.®

PO Box 3790
Bryan, TX 77805-3790
www.asca.org

(409) 778-1082
FAX (409) 778-1898
asca@tca.net

Secretary's Report

January 1-31, 2000

This report details the day to day activities of the ASCA Board of Directors and includes issues before the ASCA Board and communications done by mail, fax, email and/or phone

90:38 AGILITY COMMITTEE--Veterans Division

Motion by Trumbull-Clark, second by Gray

I move to accept the following revision to the Agility Rules as recommended by the Agility Committee:

AgC99-14: Veterans Division. Moved by Annelise Allan and seconded by Paul Kirk; Committee vote unanimous (13 yes 0 no). The Agility Committee recommends to the Board, to be published in the Aussie Times, to become effective one month after publication for Agility trials only ASCA sanctioned, and to be included in the June, 2000 edition of the Agility Rulebook, that the first paragraph of Section 3.2.2 of the Agility Rulebook be amended to read as follows (the paragraphs following the first paragraph in the current Agility Rulebook are to be retained):

The Veteran Division is open to any dog age seven (7) or older, or any handler aged 60 or older, or any handler who has a certificate of disability. The dog's age shall be determined by the dog's actual birthday or the closest date as known. The handler's age shall be determined by a birth certificate or any valid document giving date of birth.

Committee's comment: NADAC already has put in place a rule change allowing handlers aged 60 or older to run their dogs in Veterans Division. The Committee believes that this rule change is beneficial to handlers that are no longer as mobile as they were in their earlier years. The Committee also believes that people who have certificates of disability should also be eligible to run their dogs in the Veterans Division. Apart from ASCA Specialty trials, other trials only sanctioned by ASCA (like dual-sanctioned trials with NADAC) often have dogs with no papers (mixed breeds and rescues) with birthdays not precisely known. Thus the phrase "the closest date as known" is necessary in the sentence: "The dog's age shall be determined by the dog's actual birthday or the closest date as known."

Voting:

Approve: Unanimous

Motion is approved

90:38 AGILITY COMMITTEE--Financial Responsibilities

Motion by Trumbull-Clark, second by Gray

I move to accept the following revision to the Agility Rules as recommended by the Agility Committee:

AgC99-15: Financial Responsibilities. Moved by Paul Kirk and seconded by Elaine McCollough; Committee vote unanimous (13 yes 0 no). The Agility Committee recommends to the Board to amend Section 2.6.6 by adding the following before the current paragraph on financial responsibilities and that this amendment be published in the Aussie Times and included in the June 2000 edition of the Agility Rulebook:

Whenever an ASCA Affiliate Club is responsible for making arrangements with and paying for the services of an Agility trial judge, the Affiliate club is encouraged to execute a contract with each judge they hire so both the club and the judge have a clear understanding of the financial arrangements. If the club does not fulfill its financial obligations as specified in the

contract, ASCA will not sanction any of the club's future events until the financial obligations are fully met. The contract should include the following items as a minimum:

- a) Transportation (airline coach fare or agreed upon amount for driving); airport parking; shuttle fees; driving to and from the airport; if the judge purchases the ticket as agreed upon with the host club, the host club shall reimburse the judge promptly upon receipt of evidence of payment for the ticket; statement indicating the club will assume the costs for ticket change if the club changes or cancels the event and that the judge will assume costs for ticket change if the judge does not meet the ticket itinerary);
- b) Meals, snacks, and refreshments to be reimbursed, charged to the club's credit, and/or furnished in kind (address any limitations on numbers of and amounts for meals, including taxes and gratuities; ascertain any special dietary requirements for meals, snacks, and refreshments);
- c) The host club's arrangements for lodging during the trial (lodging in a member's home is by mutual agreement between the judge and the host club; clubs should indicate in the contract that charges made to the hotel room which have not been agreed upon by the host club must be paid by the judge when checking out of the hotel).
- d) Other agreements between the club and the judge such as kenneling charges for the judge's dogs;
- e) The judging fee of one dollar (\$1.00) per dog listed in the running order catalog, whether or not the dog runs.

Committee's comment: It has come to the Committee's attention that it would be helpful if the Agility Rulebook identified a check-list of useful items to be considered in the writing of a contract between a club and the Agility judges hired. There are a number of variables to consider in writing a contract. Thus, a check-list of items to consider for inclusion should provide helpful information to the Affiliate Clubs.

Voting:

Approve: Berryessa, Gray, Hawley, Martin, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Tubbs, Warren

Disapprove: Harrell

Motion is approved

90:38 AGILITY COMMITTEE--Governance of Agility Trials

Motion by Trumbull-Clark, second by Gray

I move to accept the following revision to the Agility Rules as recommended by the Agility Committee:

AgC00-2: Rules Governing ASCA Agility Trials. Moved by Annelise Allan and seconded by Donna Arnold; Committee vote unanimous (13 yes 0 no). The ASCA Agility Committee recommends to the Board that a new Section 1.1 be inserted in the Agility Rulebook and that old Sections 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 be renumbered 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 and be published in the Aussie Times and in the June, 2000 edition of the Agility Rulebook:

Section 1.1 Rules Governing ASCA Agility Trials

Agility trials sanctioned only by ASCA are governed by ASCA's program "Agility Rules and Regulations", by any rules and regulations set forth in the Premium for each trial (which shall not conflict with the program's "Agility Rules and Regulations"), and by the Bylaws of the Australian Shepherd Club of America, Inc. In dual-sanctioned ASCA/NADAC Agility trials, in the event of any conflict between ASCA's Agility program rules and NADAC's Agility rules, NADAC rules shall govern.

Committee's comment: The governing documents for Agility trials should be clearly identified in the Agility Rulebook. The Committee believes it is important to state these matters clearly and succinctly. The amendment simply states what is current practice. The Agility rules of NADAC and of ASCA are closely parallel in content; however, NADAC has always required that premiums for dual-sanctioned trials state that in the event of a conflict between ASCA Agility program rules and NADAC program rules, NADAC rules shall govern. There has been no problem in functioning in this way in the past and the Committee foresee none in the future.

Voting:

Approve: Berryessa, Hawley, Martin, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Tubbs, Warren

Disapprove: Harrell

Motion is approved

97:14 DNA COMMITTEE---Complaint Resolution Rules

Motion by Gray, second by Toft

I move to accept the following revised DNA Complaint Resolution Rules:

ASCA DNA Complaint Resolution Rules

General Procedure

The Australian Shepherd Club of America, Inc's DNA Complaint Resolution Rules are divided into two examination categories as outlined below:

SECTION I. ASCA Initiated Procedure and SECTION II. Protest Initiated Procedure

The ASCA initiated procedure is triggered when DNA sample results are received by the ASCA Business Office from an ASCA DNA certification laboratory and the results do not match the DNA profile expected from the pedigree information contained in the registry for the profiled dog. The protest initiated procedure results from a formal protest being filed by an individual who questions the pedigree relationships, (if tissue--blood, cheek swabs or hair pulls---from parents is unavailable for DNA analysis because of death or other reason, the ASCA Determination of Deceased Parent Rules will be utilized, employing the 90% parentage probability criteria in order for the pedigree to remain as recorded), as presented in the pedigree of a dog or dogs as recorded in the ASCA registry. The word "dog" refers to both male and female.

I. ASCA INITIATED PROCEDURE

Upon receipt of laboratory DNA profile data from a dog whose sire or dam was born after 1/1/95 which does not match the pedigree information as recorded in the registry, the following steps shall be performed: (Note: Dogs born before 1/1/95 if found to have "unknown" lineage will have pedigrees modified to reflect the "unknown" lineage but will not be restricted to Not For Breeding registration status. Dogs born after this date will have their pedigrees modified to reflect the "unknown" lineage and will be restricted to Not For Breeding registration status.)

1. The owner(s) of the dog in question and it's sire and dam are informed by ASCA within 10 working days via letter of the genetic mismatch.
2. The dog in question is placed on a temporary Not For Breeding registration status until
 - a) the correct parents are identified or b) permanent Not For Breeding registration status is recorded.Temporary Not For Breeding (see Registry Rules for definition) registration status does not limit a dog from competing in any of ASCA's programs, it only prohibits one's ability to register offspring and never for more than 12 months. Within the 12 month period, a determination will be made as to the legitimacy of the pedigree, resulting in either permanent Not For Breeding registration status or restoration to the previously recorded status of the dog prior to the DNA verification investigation.
3. The owner(s) of the sire and dam of the dog in question are sent DNA kits and requested to provide DNA tissue samples (blood, cheek swabs or hair pulls as determined by the Board of Directors) of the prospective mates to an ASCA DNA certification laboratory within 60 days in order to verify correct laboratory results.
4. If samples verify the mismatch, the owner(s) of the sire and dam are contacted via letter from ASCA and required to attempt to identify other possible sires/dams. The owners of all puppies from the registered litter are informed of temporary Not For Breeding registration status, sent DNA kits and required to submit DNA samples to an ASCA DNA certification laboratory. Initial tests will be paid for by ASCA, however, ASCA will request the owner of the dog who incorrectly submitted the litter registration application (usually the owner of the sire and/or dam, if determined through this process) pay for all testing costs incurred which were deemed necessary to resolve the parentage issue. This is done in order to assess whether or not the litter was produced from multiple sires. In addition, whether or not the sire/dam is correctly identified, the owner(s) of the sire and/or dam may be required to submit samples from all breeding stock in an effort to avoid future pedigree errors and/or additional allegations against the party. These tests will be paid for by ASCA with the exception of tests performed in the tiered approach (above) which are required for the positive identification of the dog(s) in question.
5. The owner(s) of the sire and dam are then required to obtain DNA samples from all possible sires and dams in an optional tiered approach (i.e. most probable sire and dam tested first, second most probable sire and dam tested next, etc. until the correct sire/dam is identified). All testing must be complete within 6 months of initial discovery at the expense of the owner(s) of the sire/dam of the dog(s) in question.
6. If the sire/dam is not identified within this time, all puppies from the litter are placed on Not For Breeding registration status and pedigrees are modified to reflect "unknown" sire/dam.
7. Owner(s) of dogs from all generations which have been affected by the "unknown" parent will also be sent letters from ASCA informing them of the situation. The dog in question and those affected by the "unknown" lineage will be placed on Not For Breeding registration status and pedigrees will be modified to correctly reflect their "unknown" origin within their pedigree.

II. PROTEST INITIATED PROCEDURE

Upon receipt of an official complaint form alleging the DNA from a dog whose sire and/or dam born after 1/1/95 will not match pedigree information as recorded in the registry, the following steps shall be performed:

1. The owner(s) of the dog, sire, dam and potentially owners of siblings of the dog in question are informed by ASCA via letter within 10 working days of the protest filed. (This is dependent upon the specific allegations of the protest, e.g., number of dogs whose parentage is alleged to be in question.)
2. The dog in question is placed on a temporary Not For Breeding registration status until either a) the correct parents are identified or b) permanent Not For Breeding registration status is recorded.
Temporary Not For Breeding (see Registry Rules for definition) registration status does not limit a dog from competing in any of ASCA's programs, it only prohibits one's ability to register offspring and never for more than 12 months. Within the 12 month period, a determination will be made as to the legitimacy of the pedigree, resulting in either permanent Not For Breeding registration status or restoration to the previously recorded status of the dog prior to DNA verification investigation.
3. The owner(s) of the dog, sire and dam are sent DNA kits and requested to provide DNA tissue samples (blood, cheek swabs or hair pulls as determined by the Board of Directors) from the dog in question and DNA tissue samples (blood, cheek swabs or hair pulls as determined by the Board of Directors) of the sire and dam of such dog to an ASCA DNA certification laboratory within 60 days. Initial tests will be paid for by ASCA, however, ASCA will require the owner of the dog who incorrectly submitted the litter registration application (usually the owner of the sire and/or dam, if determined through this process) pay for all testing costs incurred which were deemed necessary to resolve the parentage issue.
4. If samples verify the mismatch, the owner(s) of the sire and dam are contacted via letter from ASCA and required to attempt to identify other possible sires/dams. The owners of all puppies from the registered litter are informed of temporary Not For Breeding registration status, sent DNA kits and required to submit DNA samples to an ASCA DNA certification laboratory. Initial tests will be paid for by ASCA, however, ASCA will require the owner of the dog who incorrectly submitted the litter registration application (usually the owner of the sire and/or dam, if determined through this process) pay for all testing costs incurred which were deemed necessary to resolve the parentage issue. This is done in order to assess whether or not the litter was produced from multiple sires. Additionally, if the samples verify the mismatch, the owner(s) of the sire/dam are then required to obtain DNA samples from all possible sires and dams in an optional tiered approach (i.e. test most probable first, test second most probable, etc. until the correct sire and dam are identified). All testing must be complete within 6 months of initial discovery at owners' expense. In addition, whether or not the sire/dam is correctly identified, the owner(s) of the sire and/or dam may be required to submit samples from all breeding stock in an effort to avoid future pedigree errors and/or additional allegations against the party. These tests will be paid for by ASCA with the exception of tests performed in the tiered approach (above) which are required for the positive identification of the dog(s) in question.
5. If the sire/dam is not identified within this time, all puppies from the litter are placed on permanent Not For Breeding registration status and pedigrees are modified to reflect "unknown" sire/dam.
6. Owner(s) of dogs from all generations which have been affected by the "unknown" parent will also be sent letters from ASCA informing them of the situation. The dog in question and all dogs affected by the "unknown" lineage will be placed on Not For Breeding registration status and pedigrees will be modified to correctly reflect the "unknown" origin within their pedigrees.
7. If the sire and dam do not verify the mismatch, the individual filing the protest will have an option to request further investigation (i.e., grand-sire/grand-dam, great grand-sire/great grand-dam investigation etc.). The expense of further investigation will be borne by the complainant or by the owner of the dog who incorrectly reported the registration information (if determined through this process). ASCA may accept or deny this request but in either case will send a complete written explanation of this decision to both parties within 60 days of the request for further investigation.

Actions taken for noncompliance with ASCA DNA sample request and/or non-reimbursement of costs incurred.

Should a request for DNA samples be delayed beyond specified time periods, or denied, ASCA may:

- 1) Revoke registration papers on all dogs involved in the investigation of the potentially "unknown" lines within the pedigree and modify the pedigree to reflect this fact, and/or
- 2) Place the dog(s) on Not For Breeding registration status. This may involve the dog(s) in question and/or the parents or relatives of the dog(s) in question if DNA samples are delayed or denied.

Should a party deny a request by ASCA for reimbursement of costs incurred by ASCA for DNA testing resulting in identification of the party responsible for submitting erroneous pedigree information, ASCA may:

- 1) Suspend membership rights (including Registry) to that individual(s) until the expenses for such parentage investigation and identification are paid, and/or
- 2) Institute additional fines and/or penalties in accordance with established ASCA fines/penalties rules, and/or
- 3) Seek additional means to recover costs incurred for such investigation.

Voting:

Approve: Gray, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Tubbs, Warren

Disapprove: Hawley

Abstain: Berryessa, Harrell, Martin

Motion is approved

DONATIONS FOR NATIONALS

Motion by Warren, second by Gray

Continuing on in the giving tradition we have done in past years,

I move ASCA donate one DNA blood draw kit with test paid for by ASCA for the following winners of the ASCA Nationals each year:

Best of Breed
High In Working Trial
High In Obedience Trial
High Score in Agility
Best Jr. Handler (Nationals, not finals)
and Tracking

Because Tracking is a pass/fail, the recipient of the blood draw kit will be chosen using the following criteria as submitted by the Tracking Committee:

High Tracking Performance Award

To be given to one Australian Shepherd who passes the tracking test offered at the yearly ASCA National Specialty Show.

Preference for the award should be given to the Australian Shepherds who achieve their ASCA Tracking Title for the first time and the TDX should be given preference over the TD title. Therefore, the proposed order of preference is:

- 1) New TDX title
- 2) New TD title
- 3) Previously titled TDX dog passing the TDX Test at the ASCA Nationals
- 4) Previously titled TD dog passing the TD test at the ASCA Nationals

In the case of a tie (two or more dogs passing the TDX) the Tracking Judges who judged the National Tracking Test would select a passing dog for the award. The same for the TD in case no TDX passed.

If no Australian Shepherd passes the National Specialty Tracking Test TDX or TD, the "High Tracking Performance Award" would not be presented that year.

Voting:

Approve: Gray, Hawley, Martin, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Warren

Abstain: Harrell, Tubbs

Non-voting: Berryessa

Motion is approved

97:12 EDUCATIONAL COORDINATOR-Ad

Motion by Toft, second by Martin

I move to accept the ad faxed on January 11/12 from Pam Bethurum (with photo and ASCA logo to be added) to be sent to Puppies USA for publication in their 2000 issue and the text she sent for the free classified ad.

Voting:

Approve: Gray, Harrell, Hawley, Martin, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Tubbs,
Warren

Disapprove: Berryessa

Motion is approved

97:08 JOSHUA TREE ASC--Bylaws Change

Delete section 1.5.7

Change Section 9.3

Elections shall be held during the month of November and shall be conducted by mail. Except that any office that does not have an opponent, the voting may be done verbally at the November meeting, according to Robert's Rules of Order. Voting ballots shall be sent to all voting members on or before the 10th day of November and voting will cease on the 30th day of November, except when there is no opposition, as per Robert's Rules of Order. Election of the nominees shall be effected by a majority vote of the ballots received by the close of the voting date, as single exception to Article III Section 3 of these bylaws. Elected candidates shall assume the duties of office on or before the 31 day of December. Write-in candidates shall not be allowed in balloting. The ballot may also include other issues which the Board wishes to submit to a vote of the members (See article Section 11.2)

Voting:

Approve: Gray, Harrell, Hawley, Martin, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Tubbs, Warren

Non-voting: Berryessa

Change is approved

96:17 JR. SHOWMANSHIP

Motion by Berryessa, second by Warren

I move that the Junior awards for 1998-1999 year be as follows:

Certificates and the opportunity for their photographs to be in the Aussie Times are to be given to Juniors who earn Degrees of Excellence, titles on ACA registered Australian Shepherds, high scoring in their regions in all venues of ASCA competition and 500 Club members. The photographs are to be showcased in an issue of the Times chosen by the Editor. One photo per Junior is to be allowed, with a listing of all awards earned.

Awards are to be given to the High Score Junior Awards, the 4 Over-All High Awards and the All Around Junior.

Below is the budget for the awards:

9 High Score Junior Awards \$75 each--total \$675
4 Over-All High Awards \$25 each--total \$100
1 All Around Award \$100--total \$100
Shipping/handling (estimate)---\$140
Grand TOTAL----\$1015

Voting:

Approve: Unanimous

Motion is approved

NATIONALS 2000 STOCKDOG FINALS BUDGET

Monday--Cattle (105 @ \$25/head)---\$2,625.00
 Sheep (55 @ \$9/head)---\$495
 Ducks (110 @ \$15/run)---\$300
 Stock Handlers---\$250
 Arena Fees (includes all)---\$1,000
 Judges Fees---\$1,700
 Feed (hay 3 @ \$28/round bale)---\$84
 Shipping fees (sheep only) Baileys---\$150
 Total----\$6,604
Tuesday-Cattle (55 @ \$25/head)---\$1,375
 Sheep (55 @ \$9/head)---\$495
 Ducks (110 @ \$15/run)---\$300
 Stock handlers---\$250
 Arena fees (includes all)---\$1,000
 Awards and buckles---\$950
 Judges fees---\$1,700
 Feed (hay 3 @ \$28/round bale)---\$84
 Shipping fees (sheep only)Baileys---\$150

Total---\$6,304

Voting:

Approve: Berryessa, Gray, Hawley, Martin, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Tubbs,
Warren

Disapprove: Harrell

Budget is approved

98:15 OBEDIENCE COMMITTEE

Motion by Trumbull-Clark, second by Toft

I move to approve the following recommendation by the Obedience Committee:

I move that we ask the ASCA BOD to issue the following directive to the ASCA Business Office. Rankings of dogs for the four classes in the 2001 Obedience Finals are to be made according to the following scheme.

- 1) Rank all dogs eligible for Super Dog by virtue of having earned, at least three times during the ranking period (June 1, 2000 to May 31, 2001), a qualifying score in Open B and in Utility in the same trial. Ranking is determined by the sum of the three highest combined scores.
- 2) List all dogs that earned at least three qualifying scores in Utility during the ranking period. Remove from this list all dogs ranked in the top 20 in Super Dog and rank the remaining dogs in order of the sum of their three highest scores in Utility.
- 3) List all dogs that earned at least three qualifying scores in Open during the ranking period. Remove from this list all dogs also ranked in the top 20 in Utility or in Super Dog and rank the remaining dogs in order of the sum of their three highest scores in Open.
- 4) List all dogs that earned at least three qualifying scores in Novice. Remove from this list all dogs also ranked in the top 20 in Open or Utility or Super Dog. Each of the final rankings is limited to 20 in each class, the top 10 followed by the top 10 alternates, although because of ties more than 20 dogs may be listed. Publish these four lists of ranked dogs in the AT accompanied by a clear statement that these rankings are solely for determining eligibility for the Obedience Finals in 2001.

By "dog" here is meant ASCA-registered Australian Shepherd

This amendment has been made by Bohren, seconded by Link Approved by Case, Heitz, Swatko, Mingus, Bohren and Link

Voting:

Approve: Unanimous

Motion is approved

99:08 OBEDIENCE FINALS--Budget

Motion by Trumbull-Clark, second by Toft

I move to approve the following budget sent by Renee Reschenthaler, Chair for the Obedience Finals for 2000 and that any cost over entry fees generated for this year be paid to the Host Club by ASCA.

Comments: The Finals rules state that the Host Club shall submit a proposed budget at least six months prior to the Finals. Payment for overages for the Finals is being requested at this time due to the newness of the Program and having no prior fund, plus the possibility that the Finals will not fill this first year. The club wishes to provide "participation" awards to all entrants. The judges are not charging a fee or transportation costs, but do need the cost of motel the night before as they are driving in from quite a ways and want to be on time.

Obedience Final Budget Draft

AWARDS COST

Participation Awards: 4 classes with 10 entries each. 4 x 10=40 prizes. 40 x \$20 each=\$800

1st in each class 4 x \$100 each=\$400 TOTAL: \$1,200

RIBBONS COST

40 large rosettes 40 x \$5=\$200 TOTAL: \$200

RINGS AND STEWARDS COST

Ring ropes/jumps for 1 day (2 rings x \$25/ring/day) x 1 day=\$50

Assumption: At the most, two rings going at the same time, 3 stewards per ring @ \$65 ea. x 2 rings x 1 day=\$390

TOTAL: \$440

JUDGES COST

Judges Fee: 2 obedience judges---\$0.00

(2 x 1 nights motel each) x \$60/night=\$120

(2 x \$35/day food) x 1 days=\$70

TOTAL: \$190

CLASS LIST

Novice

Open

Utility

Super Dog

Total possible expenses: \$2,030

Total possible income: \$1,850 (\$1,200 from Novice/Open/Utility = \$650 from Superdog)

Voting:

Approve: Unanimous

Motion is approved

PRUDENTIAL SECURITIES ACCOUNT

Motion by Gray, second by Hawley

I move to have ASCA Attorney Charles Carnese prepare the appropriate documentation so the monthly statements from Prudential Securities and Bank One Securities can be sent to Bryan and ASCA can get current valuations on the accounts.

Voting:

Approve: Gray, Hawley, Martin, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Warren

Disapprove: Harrell

Abstain: Tubbs

Non-voting: Berryessa

Motion is approved

I move the ASCA Secretary, Kris Toft, be authorized to certify the Board's action.

Voting:

Approve: Gray, Hawley, Martin, Trumbull-Clark, Warren

Disapprove: Harrell

Abstain: Toft, Tubbs

Non-voting: Berryessa

Motion is approved

I move President Kathy Warren, First Vice President Kyle Trumbull-Clark and Assistant Treasurer Michelle Berryessa be appointed as the contact persons with both Prudential Securities and Bank One Securities.

Voting:

Approve: Gray, Hawley, Martin, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Warren

Disapprove: Harrell

Abstain: Tubbs

Non-voting: Berryessa

Motion is approved

Comment: Kris has the resolution from our Attorney and will fax it to Jo for distribution to everyone upon request. It requires Kris Toft's signature as Secretary to certify these motions. Kathy, Kyle and Michelle are our agreed upon liaisons with financial institutions per the vote on the Finance Committee made during the December conference call.

90:03 SHOW RULES CHANGE--Addition

Motion by Toft, second by Trumbull-Clark

I move that the following addition be made to the ASCA Show Rules and Regulations---Ch. 3, Sec. 17: To the ASCA Obedience Rules and Regulations---Ch. 1, Sec. 8: To the ASCA Tracking Rules and Regulations---Ch. 1, Sec. 1.7: To the ASCA Stockdog Rules and Regulations---Ch. 3, Sec. 2.5: To the ASCA Agility Rules and Regulations---Ch. 2, Sec. 1.1

Any ASCA Affiliated Club requesting ASCA sanctioning for any event(s) shall, when making application for said event(s), include any applicable county, state, country or any other bylaws, rules of conduct or associated restrictions regarding dogs, their exhibition and the holdin of said event(s) and shall include a list of such rules, bylaws or restrictions in the premium list. Such rules, restrictions, bylaws etc. shall be printed in English and the language of the country where said event(s) will be held, listing all pertinent information.

Eff. 6-1-2000

Comment: Some areas have local laws such as requirements for rabies certificates and some countries such as Germany have laws that do not allow whisker trimming, etc. These are only examples of many laws of which exhibitors are unaware and that affect their ability to show at ASCA shows. Exhibitors have a right to be forewarned of any requirements that are not in the ASCA rulebook with which they must comply.

Voting:

Approve: Gray, Hawley, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Tubbs, Warren

Disapprove: Berryessa, Harrell, Martin

Motion is approved

90:03 SHOW RULES CHANGE

Motion by Toft, second by Trumbull-Clark

I move to lift the hold on approval of new foreign affiliate clubs effective 6-1-2000

Comment: Now that the program rules have been changed to require announcement of rules/laws/etc. that vary from the norm in the sanctioning application and premium I think that we can deal with any future problems arising from the sanctioning of shows outside the US.

Voting:

Approve: Unanimous

Motion is approved

Appointments: Conformation Committee Chair--Shelly Hollen, Board liaison--Gary Hawley

Approval: Joey Judd as a Regular ASCA Stockdog Judge

Nancy Pelletier as an ASCA Non-Regular Conformation Judge

Resignation: Jane Harrell as ASCA Director

BUSINESS OFFICE REPORT

The Business Office has a new address: PO Box 3790, Bryan, TX 77805-3790. The Business Office has a new e-mail address: asca@tca.net

REGISTRY

Ind 537
NFB 44
Litter 296
Trans 86
Lease 5
LEP 5

MEMBERSHIP

New-Single 105
New-Dual 24
New-Foreign 0
New-Canadian 7
Renew-Single 386
Renew-Dual 100

SHOW/STOCK

Sanc. Rec'd 42
Sanc. Proc'd 35
Sanc. Pend 16
Results Rec'd 16
Results Proc'd 23
Results Pend 4

Dups 25	Renew-Foreign 3	S & T Sub. 28
Hardship 15	Renew-Canadian 9	Certificates 558
Pedigree 84	Jr Times 9	
New Ken 16	Aff. Rnw 47	
Rnw Ken 44		

Judge Apps 2	SHOWS HELD:
Email 1312	
DNA Kits 15	Conf/Obed shows 19
DNA Tests 30	Stock 2
	Ranch 1
	Agility 4
	Tracking 0

Respectfully submitted,

Kris Toft, Secretary