The Meeting was called to order by President Jerry Aufox at 7:35 p.m. He introduced the Board Members: Linda Gray, 2nd Vice President; Allison Bryant, incoming Board Member; Kathy Warren, outgoing 1st Vice President; Peter Hellmeister; Ann DeChant, Secretary; Chris Davenport; Michelle Berryessa, outgoing Treasurer; and Maarten Walter, incoming Board Member. Jerry Aufox then presented a plaque to Michelle Berryessa and Kathy Warren for their years of service to ASCA.

Jerry Aufox stated that he wanted to clear up some misunderstandings. “Starting with DNA and Therion, our new Lab for DNA Parentage Verification, if Members need to use brushes, brushes will be allowed. We don’t want to have any misconceptions. While blood cards are the Labs preferred sampling method, we are going back to the bristle brush as well as blood. Those who use a brush instead of blood will be charged a retest fee of $25 (including the cost of the new kit that will be required). The risk of not getting a good sample with brushes will fall on each user.” He stated that if there is not sufficient sample material on the brush swab, the Member will then have to submit a blood sample and pay for all costs involved in retesting.

President Aufox also reported that there was an issue with the Juniors and the 500 Club. “The Board met with the Juniors today to clear up another misunderstanding regarding that. The Board has not taken any action regarding the 500 Club. If there are any program changes to the Junior Program, it must come from the Junior Committee.” The Junior Committee has not taken any action regarding the 500 Club and if they did, then it would come before the Board for consideration. “Rumors to the contrary,” Aufox said, “This Board does not take precipitous action on any issue.”

Aufox reported that we did not have a quorum. At our current membership, a quorum would have been close to 300 Members.

Aufox said that the first order of business is approval of the minutes of our last General Membership Meeting.

MOTION: Kathy Warren—C. A. Sharp moved to approve the minutes from the Membership Meeting held at the 2003 Nationals in Wisconsin. Carried.

Before calling for the Agility Committee Report, Jerry Aufox announced that he’d like to clear up another rumor that has been circulating in regard to Agility. The rumor is that ASCA wants to discontinue its co-sanctioning relationship with NADAC. Aufox said, “This has never been a consideration of the Board. Prior to the April Board Meeting there was some correspondence from Sharon Nelson of NADAC in which she complained and implied that she may pull co-sanctioning with ASCA. The Board made a decision for Peter Hellmeister, as Liaison for the Agility Committee, to contact NADAC and inform them that ASCA feels that co-sanctioning with NADAC is in the best interest
of ASCA, and we want to continue. Since this had taken place, and we were concerned that NADAC may discontinue co-sanctioning, so the Board asked the Agility Committee, so that we are not caught off guard if we had to go on our own, to investigate and determine what we needed if we were forced to go on our own. It appears that this request to the Committee was mistaken as a decision or a feeling of the Board that we wanted to go on our own.” Aufox said, “We do not, but it would be foolish for us not to be able to react to other people’s decisions. To clear up any misconception the Board does not want to go on our own. It is in the best interest of ASCA for us to continue co-sanctioning with NADAC for the foreseeable future.” He said he hopes that this clears up any misunderstanding and inspires confidence in our continued program.

Committee Reports:

Agility Committee - Allison Bryant
Allison Bryant thanked Peter Hellmeister for being the Liaison for the past year. She thanked all Members of the Agility Committee for the past year as they got a lot of Motions put through. They reviewed the Rule Book and clarified things as much as they could. She thanked Shelley Malan, Andrea Hoffman, Pete Dolan, Pamela Smith, Frank Butera, Sue Graham, Ron Shumann, Kristi Cetrulo, and Cynthia Clark. Bryant reported that the main goal of this year was focused on cleaning up the Rule Book as there were sections that were outdated. Not only were their holes in the Rule Book, but they were not really in sync with NADAC anymore. The ASCA Agility Nationals are ASCA-only sanctioned and it was really important that the Rule Book be solid. The first time they were ASCA-only sanctioned, people mentioned that things weren’t covered in the Rule Books. Bryant said, “We felt like we had to get it up to par. We had 3 large Motions that covered Chapter 1-2, 3-5 and 6 and on. We had several smaller Motions that covered the following. We removed the Gamblers requirement from the Junior Handling Finals as we hadn’t had any Junior Handlers qualifying for the Junior Handler Finals. So we felt if we took that out we might have had more qualifiers, because typically they don’t compete in Gamblers. We lowered the height of the A-Frame to bring it in line with the NADAC Rules and covered the clarification of the post-trail paperwork that is required of the host club. We thought that would help in dual sanctioned trials so that the host club would understand what is required by ASCA. We passed a Motion to include the ASCA tracking application with the Premium to encourage people to register their dogs. We changed to a 200 hundred mile Rule, you can have an Agility trial in the same State as long as it is 200 miles away from another one. We specified that the maximum number of Classes that a Club could have per day is six. If someone has an ASCA only trial the maximum is six, but it doesn’t specify what the mix is so they Club could have all Gamblers day on day and all Jumpers the next day, they could. We specified that a Judge can only judge 350 runs per day that wasn’t in the Rule Book. There was a Board policy that was added to the Rule Book that ASCA Membership is required for Agility Finalists and for participation in the Merit Program. Finally we added Junior Gamblers Classes and titles into the Rule Book as NADAC is adding them in January 1, 2005 so we added them to bring the Rules into line as well.”
Allison Bryant stated that the Agility Committee is always interested in having input from Members. “We hear a lot from you and that is good so that we know what you are doing! Send you comments to anyone on the Committee.”

**Breed Standard Review Committee – Kristin McNamara, Member**

Kristin McNamara stated, “I’m reporting for the Breed Standard Review Committee. Members include Sandy Cornwell, Kim Cochran, Linda Bell, Ernie Hartnagle, Shelly Hollen, Gail Karamalegos, Sunday Miles, Cheri Preciado, and me, with our Chair Terry Martin. We thank Terry for agreeing to Chair again this year. We want to thank our Liaison, Kathy Warren, and we want to welcome Chris Davenport, our new Liaison, as Kathy goes off the Board. Exercising this process is slow and steady. We published the suggested changes in the *Aussie Times*, May-June 2003 on page 90. We thought we’d get a lot of comments from the Members, we were kind of nervous. We were disappointed that we didn’t have more comments. We are still welcoming any comments from you. We are currently working on the “Head” section, and we would welcome any comments on that section and beyond. I want to make it clear that we are recommending to the Board that revisions be voted on section by section. We know that Jerry is adamant that it be voted on as one document, but we are going to recommend that the Members vote section by section. One final note…a personal one, please come up with something better than “such as Collie-like” for a fault as that kills me!”

**Bylaws Committee – Jerry Aufox, Member**

Jerry Aufox reported, “The suggested revisions have been published in the *Aussie Times*, and the Board hopes that you will give us your thoughts on it. After Member comments have been received and reviewed, a final draft to be voted on will be published.”

**DNA & Genetics Committee – C.A. Sharp, Member**

“Also serving on this Committee are retiring Members George Johnson and Susan Whitman. We would like to thank them for their years of service. Other Committee Members are our Chair Chris Reedy, Devona Myrick, Joan Holmes, Kim Cochran, Laura Iwan, Kelli Carter, Sally Mann and Jamie Barnes. We have several new Members, Lori Middleton, and from Germany, Sandra Zilch, giving us our first European representation in a while. We got 87 new samples into the DNA program today. This year, we worked on clarification to the registry rules that impact the DNA program to make it more apparent what the intension of the Rule was and how it applied to ASCA Registrations. The most important thing we did this year was to search for a new lab. We were instructed in the middle of the summer that it was going to be necessary to make a change. We reviewed a number of labs that provide Canine DNA parentage Verification services, and came up with a short list. Members of the Committee interviewed representatives from the list of labs, and we eventually recommended to the Board Therion International, LLC, which is our new parentage verification laboratory. We are very impressed with what they have done in past work with other species. We think they will do a very good job for us. All of your samples are currently going to them. ImmGen recently transferred all the samples that they had failed to process to Therion.”
They will be getting them caught up as soon as they can. So if you are among the many who haven’t had your result processed, please remain patient as they are doing it as quickly as they can. If you have old kits in your possession with ImmGen’s address, please make sure you change the address to that of Therion. If you have any questions, we welcome them.” Someone asked how long it will take to get samples processed. She answered that any new samples sent to Therion will have results returned to the Business Office within two weeks, and it will take a total of three weeks to get the results back to the submitter from the Office. Jerry Aufox reported that there are 800 samples that were sent from ImmGen to Therion, and it will take a while for these samples to be all sorted out and caught up. Someone else asked if it was going to be easier for people to resubmit their samples rather than wait for the backlog. The answer was no, they will get the backlog caught up in short order. Jerry thinks that he will know about how long Therion will need to clear up the backlog in about two weeks as Therion has to sort through and organize what they received from ImmGen. He said they will not wait to process new samples until they finish the backlog. They have agreed to process the new submissions as they come in. Another person asked who to contact to check on the status of past submissions, and Jerry said to call the Business Office as Therion will not be answering Member questions. Another question was asked about the German Lab and the answer is that they are coordinating with Therion.

Most Versatile Committee – Beth MacLehose, Member
“It has not been a very busy year for us, but we have lively discussions all the time. We want to the Anne Shope for her service as Committee Chair. She left wonderful guidance and a vast amount of experience. We want to thank our outgoing members who are moving on to other things: Lori Acierto, Susan Paulson and Ally Bryant. We were pleased to have such a great turn out for MVA last year with 74 competitors with 42 percent who qualified. That is 31 people. We wish the 90 participants of this year the best of luck. Since the last Nationals in Wisconsin, we wanted to take the time to explore two issues that keep coming up. We looked at how to make the evaluation form easier to use for the judges. We added a column for the total points in each section, which the judges all agreed would make it easier to award points on the fly. The second topic is one which we are still discussing, and we think we’ve hit upon a good solution. It’s the question of participants ribbons for MVA competition. Much of the feedback on the topic of participant ribbons favors having them, but the opposite side of the issue is cost and how do we make the top ten stand out a little bit more. It was suggested giving all participants a smaller size rosette at about the 18” size. The qualifiers and top ten would be awarded larger rosettes with the appropriate notations. This would keep the overall cost down and is something for host Clubs to think about in the future. We do need more Members, and if you are interested in going crazy all during the week of Nationals, and you would be interested in serving, please send your resume to Jo Kimes.”

Obedience Committee – Mary Burlingame, Chair
“Members presently are Sandy Case, Diane Munson, Janet White, Nancy Link, Sally Mann, Kim Waller, Craig Bohren, Elmer Willems, new Member Jane McNee, and Laura Cox is also with us. I understand we have two new Members after the Nationals, so we
will have a full Committee for the first time in several years. As a short overview of our work this year, we've changed the rules so that the host club can use the same Obedience judge in the same day or over the weekend, to cut down on cost. It seems to be working, and we have had no complaints about it. We can have two shows in one day. In Utility for the article exercises, we had to change the way that the articles are handled as we have had some problems with some exhibitors and judges. The Tracking Numbers in Obedience are now mandatory, unlike the other ASCA programs, because we had such a problem with exhibitors looking at ASCA Obedience as being like an AKC Fun Match. If we don’t have anything signed saying you are a Member of ASCA, we can’t make them follow our Rules. That is the answer to the questions that we are receiving about why the Tracking Number is mandatory in Obedience. There has been a lot of interested in the Rally Obedience program and, probably after Nationals, we will begin to look at starting some discussions about that. If anybody has feedback or experience with Rally O, we would love have you e-mail anyone on the Committee to hear your opinions and what you think works well in other registry programs. We held our first judge’s seminar today. People came, and we had interesting conversations about the judging program. One of the problems we have in the Obedience program is the judge’s uniformity in how they score exercises and in how they run their rings. We are trying to come up with ways to work toward some uniformity. That is a task that we will have to try to figure out. The numbers over the past few years have remained consistent as far as titles earned. The numbers have decreased slightly over all, but they are remaining pretty good. Another change that was made was to allow AKC Judges to judge at all levels in ASCA regardless of what level they are qualified to judge in AKC. The reason being that the testing and level of experience they have to have to judge at any level far exceeds the ASCA requirements for ASCA-only judges. Clubs are having a hard time finding judges, and this will help provide more qualified judges for ASCA Trials.”

Stockdog Committee – Sharon Simmons, Chair

"On behalf of the Stockdog Committee I would like to thank ASCA Members and nonmembers who participate in ASCA’s Stockdog Program. Without our participants ASCA’s Stockdog Committee would not be what it is today. I will provide some numbers of participation in ASCA’s program from June first 2003 to May 31, 2004: There were 205 trials with 9,157 entries. Titles earned by Aussies is 884, with 42 earning a WTCH. Titles earned by other breeds were 655 with 26 earning a WTCH. We have held 20 Ranch Trials with 23 Aussies earning Ranch Trail Title in Cattle and 18 in sheep. Other Breed Ranch Trial Cattle – 8, with Ranch Trial Sheep - 27. From two years ago, we have increased by 700 entries in a year. Recently, we passed a new Rule that for the Nationals and Pre-Nationals Trials, Judges can judge a total of 60 entries in a day. This Nationals is the first time this has been used, so we will see how it works. We are trying to give people the same opportunity under the same Judges instead of splitting the results between several judges. West Coast did a great job of trying to accommodate everyone who wanted to participate in this year’s Nationals Trials. It’s a tough job. Another thing the Stockdog Committee worked on this year is Course C. We made changes, and it is not the same course as it was a year ago. Clubs interested in hosting Course C need to take another look at the drawings. There
are significant changes as we tried to make it more workable with the Stock and the distance requirements. Someone who deserves a lot of thanks this year is Cathe Walker who is not here. She has been a very busy person this week. She has done a great job with our Stockdog Rule Book and developed our Stockdog index. It took a tremendous amount of time and has provided a very nice tool when trying to find something in the Rules.” Sharon stated that she thinks the Stockdog Committee is the most advanced Committee as far as communication with the Membership. “We used to offer our Stockdog Committee packets, but now we have our Stockdog Committee News list. All Members of ASCA are invited to join this e-mail group to getting news about items that the Committee is working on. You do have to be an ASCA Member, and we do check that. We have another list for you to use to provide feedback to the Committee. We want to thank Mike Bryant for providing these lists to us. You can find the information if you’d like to get on it in the Aussie Times. I’d like to thank the West Coast Club for hosting the ASCA Nationals and the Finals. They must have had 250 head of Stock here, and it takes a tremendous amount of time and money to host it. We’d like to thank everyone who contributes to the Finals. It costs an awful lot of money to put on, and our Sponsors help to make the Finals break even. We want to thank our Sponsors. We’d like to thank our Liaison for the last few years, Kathy Warren. She is leaving the Board and she will no longer be the Liaison or a Member of the Stockdog Committee. She has a little time off, but she has given a huge amount of time and effort for the Stockdog program over the years.” Simmons announced that the new Liaison will be Chris Davenport. She announced that she was elected to go on as Chair for the next year. She said that the Committee wants to thank the outgoing Members and welcome the new blood that will be joining the Committee after the Nationals. Please give us your feedback.” Sharon closed by saying that the Committee is only as good as its Members, and she feels the Stockdog Committee does an exceptional job. She said, “We are trying to help preserve and promote the heritage of our Breed, the Working Australian Shepherd.”

**Tracking Committee – Anne Hershey, Chair**

Ann Hershey thanked ASCA for helping us to promote Tracking as a versatility venue for Australian Shepherds, and also thanked the West Coast Australian Shepherd Club for doing an excellent job hosting the Tracking Test at the Warren Ranch. “Cathe Walker was the Tracking Test Chair, and Kathy Warren and Linda Gray, our Board Liaison were on horseback making sure that the cattle didn’t get onto the TDX tracks. It was wonderful to have them there and to have Board Members there to see our test as we are often way off site.” Note: Board Members Allison Bryant, Chris Davenport, and Ann DeChant were also at the Tracking test. “There were 4 TD tracks offered and 4 TDX tracks offered. There were two TD passes and no TDX passes. One of the TD passes will be using her 180 points earned as part of her Versatility points. The Committee has been trying to grow the program. Since the 2003 National Specialty in Wisconsin, we have had 10 ASCA Affiliate host Tracking Tests with two in Maine, two in New York, one in Florida, one in Missouri, one in Wisconsin, two in Montana, and one in California. So far this year, 24 have Titled in TD and 4 in TDX. The Tracking Committee has had a huge amount of work this year. Several areas of ongoing concern was to bring the ASCA Judge list up to date along with developing credentialing
requirements for new ASCA Tracking judges coming into the program. Also we are developing a set of Guidelines for hosting a Tracking Test to be available on the Tracking section of the ASCA Web Site which includes an ASCA Secretary checklist and timelines and directions for making Tracking test flags. We also dealt with considerations about modifying the certification for TD test entries which would include allowing TD tests earned in the previous three years in other titling organizations to be used in place of a judges certification. We are also developing a Code of Ethics for ASCA Tracking judges. Most recently we have been trying to revise the ASCA Tracking Judges Chart that are used to when they send the tracks in to the Office and to present to the exhibitors upon completion showing a map of the Track that the dog did and to provide quite a bit of information about the track. We are working on revising our current priority for Test entry. It is currently a lottery, and we hadn’t yet incorporated dogs with Tracking Numbers into the Test lottery. We are working on a proposal that adds them to the priority. We are also dealing with problems that have come up at previous year’s ASCA National Tracking Tests. We sent out a letter to all Judges on our Tracking Judging list, and 51 judges have renewed their commitment to judge for ASCA. The Committee recommended, and the Board approved, that judges from other titling organization be grand-fathered in to the ASCA Judging list provided that they are in good standing with their titling organization. Included are AKC, CKC (Canadian), and also Schutzhund Judges approved to Judge Schutzhund level III. There was also approval for a temporary form to be used for those who wish to apply to be an ASCA Tracking Judge.” Hershey thanked the Members of the Committee for their service. She reported that in the past there have been problems with the Tracking Tests held in conjunction with the National Specialty mostly related to lack of communication and lack of knowledge of Tracking Tests on the part of the host Club. The ASCA Tracking Committee is committed to providing extensive assistance and information so that these problems will not be part of future ASCA Nationals Tracking Tests.

**Conformation Committee – Chris Davenport, Liaison reported for Chair, Liz Gibson**

Chris Davenport read, “Welcome all ASCA members! The ASCA Conformation Committee first off welcomes all comments and questions. Secondly, I wish to extend my Best Wishes to all exhibitors! I will miss seeing all of you! The Conformation Committee has had a very interesting year. Discussion has been lively with many issues. One of our highlights was permitting the Altered-Championship as a qualifying title for the ASCA HOF program. We are very pleased with the results of the membership poll and the BOD voting to approve this motion! Thank you! We are currently working through the Show Rules and Regulations trying to clarify and/or change rules to make better sense. We are also working with other committees to make all venues of ASCA programs be consistent. We have also clarified the Judge’s Code of Ethics to apply to all judges who judge ASCA sanctioned events. Another lively area of discussion has been clarifying the job descriptions of Show Chairperson, Show Secretary, Show Coordinator and Show Committee. These descriptions were approved by the Board in August. We are also working on bringing the various sections of the rule book in compliance concerning the Altered Conformation program. As this has been approved as a regular program, various rules have to be rewritten to reflect this.
Another task that has been brought to the Conformation Committee’s attention is the confusion by some club members over what level of classes a judge is approved to officiate. This is mainly a problem with our Provisional Judges. Many clubs confuse the Provisional level with the Non-Regular level, thinking they can only judge the non-regular classes. This is not so! A Provisional Judge is allowed to judge all classes except at National Specialties. The Conformation Committee would appreciate your comments on new titles for our judges. Another area currently under discussion is to allow altered puppies (i.e., 2-4 month and 4-6 month) to be allowed to show in these classes. Currently there is no place for altered pups to show until they are 6 months of age. We would love to hear your comments on this. The Conformation Committee has several openings. If you would like to apply, please send your resume to Jo Kimes.

Thank you to all of you who have sent ideas to the CC for discussion.
Liz Gibson, Chair

Mary Hellmeister inquired whether Chris Davenport is remaining as Liaison to the Conformation Committee, and she stated that she is for now.

**ARPH Report – Kathy Warren, Liaison reported for President Craig Bohren**

“I don't have anything especially new or exciting to report this year, which can be characterized as business as usual. The number of dogs rescued by ARPH continues to rise, and this year will nudge close to 1000, with a corresponding increase in expenditures. We continue to be solvent even though this year was not marked by any grants or large bequeaths. Because of our financial health we now can partly reimburse volunteers for workshops and short courses relevant to their rescue work thereby helping them to defray the costs of instruction that will them enable to do their work better. As ARPH grows, we find that more of our volunteers have to devote themselves to a single task. For example, our Treasurer, Micki Handte, who at one time wore several hats, now devotes most of her time for ARPH to paying our bills and keeping track of our finances. Our new Records Keeper, Diana Tschantre, is fully occupied with records. Last year I noted that Diana Oliver, one of ARPH's stalwarts, stepped down as Vice President of ARPH. I am pleased to report this year that she has stepped back up. We continue to make improvements to our website, which we invite you to visit at aussierescue.org. Please patronize our online store and visit the ARPH booth at this National Specialty. My sincere thanks to the many ARPH volunteers, most of whom I have not met. And thanks also to ASCA members and affiliate clubs. Several clubs regularly hold raffles at their trials and shows, with the proceeds going to ARPH. Thanks also to ASCA for its continuing relationship with ARPH as its official rescue arm, in particular for the ARPH section of the **AUSSIE TIMES**. And finally I thank Kathy Warren for being a pleasure to work with as ARPH’s liaison to the ASCA Board of Directors and welcome her replacement Allison Bryant.

Thank you,
Craig Bohren, President, ARPH, Inc.”

That concluded the Committee Reports.
Michelle Berryessa delivered the Treasurers Report

Income - $666,552.88
Expense - $595,783.01
Profit - $70,769.87

Jerry Aufox asked for any Old Business, and none was brought up. He introduced Maarten Walter and Allison Bryant as the new Directors for ASCA.

Jerry Aufox asked for any New Business. He announced that the Board has approved Rock River Valley ASC as the host for the 2006 Nationals which will be held in West Bend, Wisconsin, the same location as 2003. “We are looking for someone to step up for 2007.”

Sharon Simmons suggested that one day in the middle of the Nationals Week be set aside with no competition to be dedicated to education and committee meetings.

Doug Manley mentioned that sponsors are hard to find, and maybe ASCA needs to work on obtaining sponsors willing to provide funds over a number of years. Most Clubs start all over each year to contact sponsors. He suggested dog food and other companies for sponsorships, major breweries were also suggested.

A speaker who has been a Member of ASCA for many years suggested that the Board consider hiring a superintendent to do ASCA’s Nationals. Jerry Aufox stated that the host club can hire a superintendent. “Generally, the host clubs get the profits and ASCA doesn’t. If ASCA helped in this way, they’d have to share in profits, too.” He said his hat is off to host Clubs as he has been there. Kathy Warren said that Superintendents have been used before and due to ASCA’s specialized nature, it didn’t work very well. The answer might be to hire and train someone to do this. Allison Bryant mentioned that there is a Yahoo e-mail group that has been set up to deal specifically with hosting the ASCA Nationals.

Mary Hellmeister asked about Conformation Committee and were their more resumes. Chris said that there weren’t any new resumes.

Sue Graham commented that while a superintendent is okay, people who know dogs and kennel names is more helpful. She reported that each year the National’s host club has a problem with the catalog…it kicks their butts. She suggested that the Board consider creating a program for doing the catalog, as each year, each host Club has to reinvent the wheel. Others commented that the Board should be able to do something to assist host Clubs by developing a program that would be provided to the host Club each year. Jerry Aufox stated that there is a Yahoo Group for Nationals that was started after last year’s Nationals. This will help with sharing info. He said the Board would look at the catalog problem and see what could be done.
Mary Burlingame said that the Nationals Guidelines are lumped together with the Conformation and Obedience, and ring sizes are wrong. The Board said that they didn’t see why the Guidelines couldn’t be sent to the committees to revise their venue.

Someone asked how the web site was doing and whether there is a new webmaster. Jerry Aufox said that there is a new webmaster, and the web site is being updated as an ongoing project.

Cindy King asked how clubs handle bounced checks. “What is the procedure when we have an exhibitor who bounces a check to an Affiliate Club?” Jerry Aufox asked if it was an ASCA Member. She said it was from Members and nonmembers. Jerry suggested that if a person who bounces a check to a Club and the services have been provided, that the Club could take it to their local District Attorney as they usually respond to these issues. It is a crime to steal services in most states. “If they are an ASCA Member,” Aufox said, “There is a question about their good standing, and you can notify to the ASCA Office.” Another thing that was brought up was putting the Member on a cash-only basis. You can refuse to take their entry unless it is cash. Allison Bryant suggested depositing the checks when the Pre-entries close and then if a check bounces, you can collect the funds before the event.

Boyce Baker mentioned that Members want the Board to know they are supporting them no matter what accusations were made during the last elections. They want to applaud the Board. Jerry Aufox said that the Board appreciates that from anyone and everyone.

Judy Meyers commented that ASCA Clubs hosting Agility want to electronically submit results to ASCA. It would be much easier for the Office if the same results page as they submit to NADAC could be submitted to the ASCA Office electronically. It would simplify things if the Clubs were able to submit one results sheet like they do for NADAC. Allison Bryant stated that the Agility Committee has looked at this, and one of the problems is that the software the Clubs use would have to be modified and ASCA program has to be modified to accept the electronic report and make changes to the records from the uploaded file. A knowledgeable ASCA Member could do “search and replace” and change every record. NADAC numbers would have to be replaced with ASCA numbers. Allison Bryant stated that the Agility Committee has been trying to figure this out. Paul Kirk said that in Georgia the Agility committee had met with the Board and asked for this to be resolved. ASCA Office has not made the changes so far.

Beth MacLehose suggested that it would be nice for all show results to be delivered electronically as well.

CA Sharp moved to adjourn and Donna Armstrong provided the second.

Meeting adjourned at 8:54 p.m.
Respectfully submitted by,

[Signature]

Ann B. DeChant, ASCA Secretary