

Secretary's Report

This report details the day to day activities of the ASCA Board of Directors. It includes issues brought before the Board of Directors and mail, fax, e-mail and/or telephone communications.
March 1-31, 2012.

Board of Director's Teleconference Monday March 12, 2012

The meeting was called to order at 8PM, CST. Those present were: Russ Ford, David Clayton, Rick Gann, Greg McClintock, Ronnie Bates, Rachel Vest, Tenley Dexter and Linda Gray. Peter Hellmeister was absent. Motion by Vest, seconded by McClintock - I move to ratify all February email votes. Approve: Ford, Clayton, Gann, McClintock, Bates, Vest, Dexter and Gray. Absent: Hellmeister. Motion is approved.

2011:08 2012 ASCA NATIONAL SPECIALTY

Motion to approve the Premium for the 2012 ASCA National Specialty. Approve: Ford, Clayton, Gann, McClintock, Bates, Vest and Gray. Abstain: Dexter. Absent: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved.*

Board Discussion regarding the Rally Committee and the virtual judging issue.

2000:15 BREED STANDARD

Motion by Gray, seconded by Dexter.

I move to print the Annotations to the Breed Standard in the next AUSSIE Times. Deadline for the issue is March 15, 2012. Approve: Ford, Clayton, Gann, McClintock, Bates, Vest, Dexter and Gray. Absent: Hellmeister.

Motion is approved.

94:01 STOCK DOG COMMITTEE-Change in course design, rules, and guidelines for Courses DEF

Motion by Bates

I move to accept the following Stockdog Committee motion.

I request this motion be on the agenda for the March 13, 2012 ASCA BOD conference call. This proposed rule change should be included in the June 2012 publishing of the Stockdog Rulebook to coincide with the start of the 2012/2013 Program Year. This motion helps clarify and strengthen these new courses. Several documents are attached for your review which will replace existing sections of the SD rulebook. Each section of the rule book has separate word docs attached with suggested changes.

Motion: Sanderson Second: Wesen

I make a motion that we change the original design, rules, and guidelines for Course D, Course E, and Course F to the revised design, rules, and guidelines.

Reason: I make this motion to change to the revised design, rules, and guidelines because the new version will allow Course D, Course E, and Course F to operate, flow, and fit in a minimum size arena more efficiently, while still providing an excellent course in a larger arena. The intent and integrity of the original design has not been affected, merely the positioning of the various obstacles moved to work more efficiently in a smaller arena.

Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: Ford, Clayton, Gann, McClintock, Bates, Vest, Dexter and Gray. Absent: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved.*

94:01 STOCK DOG COMMITTEES - New Score Sheet for DEF Courses.

Motion by Bates

I move to accept the following Stockdog Committee motion. I request this also be on the agenda for the ASCA BOD Conference Call, Monday, March 13, 2012.

Motion: Sanderson Second: Wesen

Voting: Unanimous

I make a motion that we change the Score Sheet for Course D, Course E, and Course F as listed in the attached file from the original, universal Score Sheet currently used in judging these courses.

I make this motion because the current Score Sheet, when used for judging Course D, Course E, and Course F, is very difficult to use. Two obstacles must be judged as one with the current method and the new Score Sheet will allow each obstacle to be scored on its' own merits. This will simplify the judging process and allow both the contestant and the judge to have a better understanding of how to score a run.

Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: Clayton, McClintock, Gray, Vest, Dexter, Gann, Bates and Ford. Absent: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved.*

Respectfully submitted,

Jo Kimes,
ASCA Executive Secretary

98:05 CONFORMATION COMMITTEE-Motion #35 Change in Judge Application Process

Motion by Dexter

We have a motion by Dorothy with a second by Jill.

Dorothy A Montano would like to make the following motion-

Name of Motion-Change in application process as found in Appendix 2, Section 2 of the ASCA Conformation Show Rules and Regulations

This motion affects all Judge Applicants who wish to become ASCA approved Breeder Judges. The motion is needed to educate Judges during the application process that accepting assignments or offers of future assignments is forbidden, until the applicant receives in writing, from the ASCA Business office, permission to Judge at the next level.

If the judge is out of compliance with this, there is no monetary or punitive measures, only a further delay in being moved up to the next level. It educates every Judge at every level and is signed by them, and forwarded with all the other documentation needed to the ASCA Business office.

Effective date of Motion To be determined

REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES FOR BECOMING AN ASCA APPROVED BREEDER JUDGE:

A. Obtain an application and Affiliate sponsorship form from the ASCA Business Office.

B. Both the application and the Affiliate sponsorship form must be completed and returned to the ASCA Business Office by the sponsoring Affiliate Club.

C. Upon receipt of the application and the Affiliate sponsorship form, the ASCA Business Office will verify the documentation is correct and minimum requirements have been met.

D. When the Office determines the minimum requirements have been met they will send the applicant a judge's test. This test must be completed and returned to the ASCA Business Office within two (2) months. A score of 100% is required to pass. An applicant may attempt the test twice without penalty. Failure after the second attempt would result in a six (6) month waiting period. A failure after the third attempt would result in denial of his/her Breeder Judge Application.

The office will also send out, with the Judges test a one page statement with the following to be signed and filled out by the Judge applicant and returned with the Judges test to the office-

I _____ (the applicant) understand that I am forbidden from accepting assignments or any offers of future assignments at non-regular level until I receive notification in writing from the ASCA Business Office via e-mail, USPS or FAX of my appointment to Non-Regular Judge Status and I have been issued a Judges number in writing. I will inform any club that attempts to hire me prematurely, that I am unable to Judge for their club. Failure to follow this rule will incur an additional 45 day penalty extension before I can be approved.

Signed _____ (the applicant)

E. Upon passing the judges' test, the applicant's name will be published in the Aussie Times for comments from the membership. The membership will have forty-five (45) days to respond. The ASCA Business Office will forward the application, sponsorship form; judges' test results and comments from the membership to the ASCA Board of Directors. The ASCA Board of Directors will approve or deny the applicant within sixty (60) days.

F. Any properly documented negative comments will be investigated by the ASCA Board of Directors. If this investigation indicates there is probable cause that the applicant should not be approved, the application will be denied. If denied, the applicant will be given an explanation as to the reasons for the denial and may include suggestions or tasks that will help the applicant to be successful in a second application process. If denied, the applicant will have thirty (30) days to appeal the decision. If an appeal has been submitted, the Board will respond within thirty (30) days.

If an applicant has been denied approval at the non-regular level, the applicant may reapply after a waiting period of two (2) years and after having satisfied any additional tasks assigned by the ASCA Board of Directors.

G. If the application is approved, the applicant will receive notification in writing from the ASCA Business Office of appointment to Non-Regular Judge and, at that time, will be an ASCA Non-Regular Breeder Judge. The applicant may then accept non-regular level judging assignments.

Upon approval, the applicant's name, address and telephone number will be published in the next issue of the Aussie Times and will be added to the ASCA Approved Judges List as a Breeder Judge with the notation of "NR" beside their name.

Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows:

Approve: Gann, McClintock, Bates, Vest, Ford, Dexter and Gray. Abstain: Clayton.

Non-Voting: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved*

98:05 CONFORMATION COMMITTEE-#36 Chapter 14 Section 14.4 Ring Stewards at Nationals

Motion by Dexter

We have a motion by Kathy with a second by Gail

I Kathryn Ross Nash move to approve the motion for ring stewards to be allowed to show at a national competition.

New Rule: Chapter 14 Section 14.4 Ring Stewards for the National Specialty.

Ring Stewards are able to compete as long as they do not compete under the judge they steward under.

Reason; Nationals is a huge competition and it is difficult for clubs to find ring stewards to fulfill the needs of this event. If stewards were able to show in events they were not competing in I believe people would volunteer more and ease the burden on our hosting clubs. This is for national competition only.

Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: McClintock, Clayton, Gann, Bates, Vest, Ford and Dexter. Disapprove: Gray. Non-Voting: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved.*

2011:25 CAROLINA CALAIS ENCORE MOONRIDGE - Request for reinstatement

Motion by McClintock Second by Ford

I move to reinstate E 171128 Carolina Calais Encore Moonridge, call name Oakley.

Comments: Oakley was disqualified in July 2011 at the age of 8 months following a biting incident at a conformation show. Since the disqualification, Oakley has completed Intermediate Obedience/Rally classes, has successfully taken a Basic Canine Good Manners class conducted by Canine Behavioral Psychologist Gail Clark, Ph.D., and has successfully completed AKC's Canine Good Citizen program. Oakley also received focused training with Dr. Clark to eliminate her tendency as a puppy to nip when excited. Dr. Clark states in her analysis and report that Oakley's unacceptable mouthing and excited nipping have since been eliminated through training and private lessons. Dr. Clark states that Oakley can be safely reinstated for competition.

Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: McClintock, Gann, Ford, Gray and Vest.

Disapprove: Dexter.

*Letter of Dissent: I make this vote without prejudice. I cannot approve this dog simply because it did not go through the correct process which sets a poor precedent.

Abstain: Clayton. Non-Voting: Bates and Hellmeister. *Motion is approved.*

2012:06 - 2012 ASCA ELECTION BALLOTS AND ELECTION SERVICES

Motion by Ford

I move that we accept the bid from election trust in the amount of \$5805 for ballots and election services needed for the 2012 ASCA Election. Upon approval the Business office will execute the contract and pay the deposit as requested. Effective date: end of March 2012 voting cycle.

Additional information: the current contract amount for this year without the Breed Standard vote is \$4450.

Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: McClintock, Clayton, Gann, Bates, Ford, Dexter and Vest. Abstain: Gray. Non-Voting: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved*

97:14 DNA COMMITTEE - Policy 17.2 Procedure to Select a Monthly DNA Sampling

Motion by Gray Second by McClintock

I move to remove Policy 17.2 from the Policy Book.

Comment: We removed this procedure from the Registry Rules and it should be removed from the Policy Book.

17.2 Procedure to Select a Monthly DNA Sampling

- a. On the first workday of each month, the first order of business handled by the Registrar will be to select the date for that month's DNA litter sampling.
- b. The Registrar will prepare sequentially numbered slips of paper corresponding to the working days of that month.
- c. The Registrar will choose, at random, from the slips of paper.

30

d. The number drawn will indicate the work day that a litter registration will be pulled for DNA sampling. This number will be kept secret and will be known only by the Registrar and the Office Manager.

e. On the date assigned, the first litter registration received by the Registrar will be DNA sampled.

Additional Instructions:

- a. The Registrar will mail or fax to anyone who requests an explanation of this procedure, a copy of this directive. This will prevent lengthy telephone conversations.

- b. ASCA will pay the fee to DNA test the litter..
 - c. ASCA will reimburse reasonable veterinary fees charged to collect the blood.
 - d. The only fees that will be reimbursed are the actual cost of the blood draw for each animal and the charge for an office visit, if the sole purpose of the office visit was to have the blood draw done for the DNA sampling.
 - e. To be reimbursed for reasonable veterinary fees, you must send an itemized receipt from the veterinarian to the Office Manager.
 - f. If the fees appear reasonable, the Office Manager will reimburse the bill from the office account. If the fees are not reasonable or if there is any other problem, the Office Manager will submit the bill to the Board of Directors for approval.
 - g. The breeder may choose any puppy in the selected litter to be DNA sampled. This includes any puppies retained by the breeder.
- Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: McClintock, Clayton, Gann, Bates, Vest, Gray, Ford and Dexter. Non-Voting: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved*

POLICY BOOK - 23.2

Motion by Gray. Second by Vest.

I move Policy 8.7 be restructured and Policy 23.2 Destruction of Minute Recordings be moved to a new 8.7.2.

Policy will now be:

8.7 Minutes

8.7.1 All Minutes (except sensitive or confidential issues) from any ASCA conference call or ASCA Board meeting, whether held at the National Specialty, the Business Office or anywhere else, will be included in the Secretary's Report for the month the call/meeting was held. The minutes of the meeting and actions of the Board of Directors, as recorded by the Executive Secretary and approved by the Board of Directors, shall be sent by the Executive Secretary to the ASCA Secretary for inclusion by attaching them to the Secretary's Report for that month.

- a. The Executive Secretary will submit the Minutes or any reports to all Directors.
- b. The Executive Secretary will ask "are there any corrections to the Minutes/Report?"
- c. The Executive Secretary will set a five day deadline, not including weekends or holidays, for all Directors to respond.
- d. If all Directors have not responded by the deadline, the Executive Secretary will mark those who have not responded as non-voting.
- e. If all Directors have responded, by the deadline the Executive Secretary will state either "the Minutes/Report is approved as written" or if any corrections/additions were made, "the Minutes/Report are approved as corrected.
- f. All Directors are allowed to offer corrections/additions to the Minutes. Only makers of motions may correct their motions.
- g. Any outgoing Directors present during the meetings will be allowed to review the Minutes and offer corrections/additions for the periods of the meetings when they were serving Directors.
- h. The Executive Secretary must enter any motion changes/corrections requested by the maker of the motion. In any case in which the Executive Secretary does not enter additions/corrections not involving motions, two thirds of the voting Directors must vote to approve the uncorrected Minutes.

Revised 01/09

8.7.2 Destruction of Minute Recordings. All recordings of and notes relating to ASCA Board of Directors meetings shall be destroyed after approval and release of the official minutes of such meeting.

Policy 23.3 will become 23.2.

Comment. No content was changed. Just moving the Destruction of Minute Recordings to the Minutes section of the Policy Book to make it easier to find. Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: McClintock, Clayton, Gann, Bates, Gray, Dexter and Vest. Abstain: Ford. Non-Voting: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved.*

2012:07 SOUTHERN ARIZONA APPLICATION TO AFFILIATE

Motion by Gray. Second by Gann

I move to approve the Southern Arizona Application to Affiliate.

Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: Gray, Ford, Clayton, Gann, McClintock, Bates, Vest

and Dexter. Non-Voting: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved*

94:01 STOCK DOG COMMITTEE - 3.10.2 Increase of # of Runs per class of stock

Motion by Bates.

I move the following motion.

3.10.2 stock will be a maximum of three runs for Sheep or Goats per day. Either Sheep or Goats may be used but the species must not be mixed either by set or class. The number of runs permitted per head of stock will be a maximum of four runs for cattle or ducks/geese per day. Extra animals should be on hand for replacement of those injured in transport or trail.

CHANGE TO:

3.10.2stock will be a maximum of four runs for Sheep or Goats per day. Either Sheep or Goats may be used but the species must not be mixed either by set or class. The number of runs permitted per head of stock will be a maximum of four runs for cattle or ducks/geese per day. Extra animals should be on hand for replacement of those injured in transport or trail

Reason: This will ALLOW clubs to run stock Ducks, Sheep and Cattle the same number of times. This is only an option. Clubs and judges can help take care of the stock.

Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: Gray, Ford, McClintock, Clayton, Gann, Bates, Vest and Dexter. Non-Voting: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved.*

94:01 STOCK DOG COMMITTEE - Section 3.10.2

Motion by Bates

I move that we change 3.10.2 Livestock allotted to each run for sheep/goats and cattle will be three to ten head. Ducks/geese allotted to each run will be five head. Either Ducks or Geese may be used but the species must not be mixed either by set or class. Number of head allotted to each run must be announced on the premium list or flyer. The number of runs permitted per head of

CHANGE TO:

3.10.2 Livestock allotted to each run for sheep/goats and cattle will be three to ten head. Ducks/geese allotted to each run will be five head to 10 head.

Either Ducks or Geese may be used but the species must not be mixed either by set or class. Number of head allotted to each run must be announced on the premium list or flyer. The number of runs permitted per head of

Reason: This will allow the club to have the option of running more head if they wished. This would be the same as Cattle and Sheep. Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: Ford, Gray, McClintock, Gann, Bates and Vest. Disapprove: Clayton and Dexter. Non-Voting: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved.*

Motion to Reconsider -2011:39 RALLY - 11-37/CH 7 # 2 REMX Title

Motion by Vest. Second by Gray.

Motion 2011:39 was defeated by the BOD in the Feb 2012 voting cycle. The defeat of this motion allowed the number of legs required to obtain an REMX title to remain at 10. Reconsideration is requested as the REMX motion must be consistent with the Rally REM motion that passed the BOD in the Jan 2012 voting cycle which dropped the required legs to 5 for an REM title. The Rally Committee was formally polled to insure that it would be their decision as to how the motions were to be corrected. The Committee voted in favor of the REM and REMX title to require 5 legs on each. Effective date: June 1, 2012 in order for both REM and REMX titles to be correct for the start of the new Rally Program year. Motion that was defeated by the BOD in Feb Voting cycle:

Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: Gray, McClintock, Gann, Bates, Vest and Dexter. Disapprove: Ford and Clayton. Non-Voting: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved*

2012:09 FOUR CORNERS ASC - Application to Affiliate

Motion by Gann. Seconded by McClintock

I move to approve the Application for Affiliate for the 4 Corners Australian Shepherd Association.

Comment: Currently the above application has 4 members that cover the 7 Officer/Director positions for the club.

Below is clarification as to why other club members will not take on an Officer/Director position.

If it'll help, I've made a list of why the other members can't/won't take an Officer position:

Clarence Hershberger - ASCA Service Member only

Ann Slavin - not an ASCA member

Michael Eschtruth - joined for support, refuses to hold an Officer position

Steve & Steph Haston - not ASCA members

Mark Kitzelman - lives too far away, cannot make any meetings

Narita Siegel - lives too far away, cannot make any meetings

Chris Egelston-Barns - lives too far away, cannot make any meetings
Charlie & Elizabeth Cope & family - live too far away, cannot make any meetings
Trudy Kremer - new to the club, not comfortable holding an Officer position
Nikki Kremer - new to the club and lives too far away
That leaves only 4 of us that are able/willing to serve as Officers. Most of our members have joined simply to support the club, and are spread out as far as California, which is quite a far piece from Bloomfield, NM, where the majority of our business is conducted.
Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: Ford, McClintock, Clayton, Bates, Vest, Dexter and Gann. Disapprove: Gray. Non-Voting: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved.*

POLICY BOOK - Internet Guidelines/Policy

Motion by Clayton, seconded by Gray.

I move to add the internet guidelines for ASCA owned lists and add it to the Policy Manual

Internet Policy

No text, post or opinion presented through an official ASCA owned lists or other internet presence shall cast aspersions upon, libel, or promote untruths against any other person, including the members of ASCA. Accordingly, a list moderator will be appointed by the board to implement this policy. The list moderator will review list postings. If, in the opinion of the moderator, any post, text, or editorial opinion is presented to the lists meets the definition of cyber bullying (defined below) the text will not be allowed to be uploaded to the list. List participants who do not follow the internet guidelines may be suspended by the moderator from posting to the list for a minimum of 3 months. Cyber bullying: the use of information and communication technologies (posts/text) to support deliberate or hostile behavior by an individual or group that is intended to hurt or embarrass another person

Rationale: This policy reflects the current policy for print material and develops similar guidelines that can be applied to ASCA's internet presence and future lists. It would only pertain to ASCA owned lists and not applicable to ASCA-L or Aussie-L which are not owned by ASCA.

Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: Clayton, Gray, Vest and Dexter. Disapprove: Ford, Bates, Gann and McClintock. Non-Voting: Hellmeister. *Motion fails.*

2004:05 AGILITY COMMITTEE - Motion 01-2012 v. 2 Appendix C Final to BoD

Motion by Hellmeister

I move to accept the following recommendation of the Agility Committee:

Voting on this motion was unanimous.

Motion by Sue, 2nd from Pamela, to amend sections of Appendix C, ASCA Agility Judges Program. Discussion period will end on 2-13, followed by a voting period that will end on 2-23. Effective date June 1, 2012.

Rationale: After a couple of years of utilizing the program, there were a few items that were brought to our attention that should be clarified or amended. Re: Section 3.2.8, there could easily be a situation where there will not be any available Apprentices without *any* Apprentice experience. I understand that we want to test the ability of an APP SP to work with a new Apprentice, thus, requiring them to work with an Apprentice who still needs work would be a good compromise.

Appendix C, section 1:

Existing: 1.1.2. The applicant must have titled at least one dog of any breed in Elite Regular in the ASCA Agility Program.

Proposed wording:

1.1.2. The applicant must have titled and earned the elite titles on at least one dog of any breed in all ASCA sanctioned classes; Gamblers, Jumpers, and Regular.

Existing:

1.2.3. When combined, the runs from judging assignments where a recommendation was earned must total a minimum of 500 runs. There must be a minimum of 50 runs each of Jumpers, Gamblers and Regular classes accumulated during the assignments for recommendation. [Note: Depending on the size of the trials where the recommendations are received, an Apprentice Judge may need additional recommendations to earn the minimum required runs.]

Proposed:

1.2.3. When combined, the number of runs from judging assignments where a 'recommendation' was earned must

total a minimum of 500 runs. There must be a minimum of 100 runs each from the sanctioned classes (Gamblers, Jumpers and Regular) accumulated during the assignments that earned 'recommendations'. [Note: Depending on the size of the trials where the 'recommendations' are received, an Apprentice Judge may need additional 'recommendations' to earn the minimum required runs.]

Existing:

1.2.5 The Apprentice must design a full set of courses and receive approval from a designated course reviewer. The courses must be a different set than used when previously applying to become an Apprentice Judge. The Apprentice shall submit a full set of courses for review (two Regular, one jumpers and one gamblers course for each level). The course reviewer shall be randomly selected by the Business Office. The courses must comply with the Course Design Guidelines found in Chapter 7 and the Judge's Addendum of the ASCA Agility Rulebook as well as follows ASCA's philosophy for flowing courses. The Apprentice shall receive feedback from the course reviewer and shall modify the courses if needed and resubmit for final approval.

Proposed:

1.2.5 The Apprentice must design a full set of courses and receive approval from a designated course reviewer. The courses must be a different set than used when previously applying to become an Apprentice Judge. The Apprentice shall submit a full set of courses for review (two rounds of Regular, two rounds of Gamblers and two rounds of Jumpers courses for each level). The course reviewer shall be randomly selected by the Business Office. The courses must comply with the Course Design Guidelines found in Chapter 7 and the Judge's Addendum of the ASCA Agility Rulebook as well as follow ASCA's philosophy for flowing courses. The regular and gambler courses will be evaluated to test the Apprentice's skill in designing for efficient course changes. The Apprentice shall receive feedback from the course reviewer and shall modify the courses if needed and resubmit for final approval.

Existing:

1.2.6 Once these requirements are met, the Apprentice Judge may apply to the ASCA Board of Directors for release from supervision. The *Apprentice Agility Judge Application for Promotion* form must be filled out and sent to the ASCA Business Office. The form must include the documentation required in section 1.2. The form is available at www.asca.org.

Proposed:

Add the following to the end of the paragraph: See Section 4 – Acceptance for further requirements.

Section 3 - ASCA Supervisor Agility Judges

Existing:

3.1.3 Applicants must have judged a minimum of ten ASCA agility trials with no significant comments for improvement reported on the *Conduct Evaluation of Judges* form from host clubs.

Proposed:

3.1.3 Applicants must have judged a minimum of ten ASCA agility trials with no significant negative comments reported on the *Conduct Evaluation of Judges* form from host clubs.

Existing:

3.2.8. The Apprentice Supervisor Judge must evaluate at least one Apprentice Judge who does not have any recommendations. This helps ensure that the apprentice Supervising Judge can mentor an Apprentice Judge who requires the most supervision.

Proposed: 3

3.2.8. The Apprentice Supervisor Judge must evaluate at least one Apprentice Judge who does not have any 'passing' recommendations. This helps ensure that the apprentice Supervising Judge can mentor an Apprentice Judge who requires more supervision.

Add new section:

3.4 Supervisor Recommendation Reports

3.4.1 At the end of the trial the Supervisor Judge shall fill out a Supervisor Report/Recommendation for the Apprentice Supervisor.

3.4.2 At the end of the trial, an Apprentice Supervisor shall fill out a Supervisor Report/Recommendation for the Apprentice. The performance of the Apprentice will be discussed by the Apprentice Supervisor, the Supervisor Judge and the Apprentice. Any approved/passing scores (recommendations) earned by the Apprentice will be considered earned under the ASCA Supervisor Judge.

3.4.3 A copy of the Supervisor Reports/Recommendations shall be retained by the Supervisor Judge, the Apprentice and the Apprentice Supervisor. The Supervisor Judge shall also forward a copy of the completed report to the Business Office.

Section 5 - Judge's Duties

5.9 currently reads:

Judges are required to submit (via email, fax or U.S. Mail to the attention of the ASCA Agility Coordinator) the Judge's Show Report within two weeks of their assignment to the ASCA Business Office. Failure to do so, may lead to loss of future judging privileges and/or removal from the ASCA Approved Judges List. At the end of the trial, judges shall submit the Yardage & Course Times Record and course copies to the trial committee to include with the trial paperwork sent to the ASCA Business Office (see section 2.6.7).

Add new section:

5.9.1 At trials where an Apprentice and/or Apprentice Supervisor are judging, the Apprentice Judge will be responsible for submitting the Judge's Trial Report to the Business Office. The Apprentice shall review the report with the Apprentice Supervisor and/or Supervisor Judge.

Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: Gray, Ford, McClintock, Clayton, Gann, Bates, Vest and Dexter. Non-Voting: Hellmeister. *Motion is approved.*

2000:15 BREED STANDARD - Modification to approved Color Section

Motion by Clayton. Seconded by Vest

I move to approve the following modifications to the Color Section Revisions of the Breed Standard

From:

COLOR: All colors are strong, clear, and rich. The recognized colors are solid black, blue merle, solid red/liver, and red/liver merle; all with or without white markings and/or tan (copper) points with no order of preference. White and/or copper trim is never required. Blacks and blue merles have a base color of jet black; reds and red merles have a base color of dark/rich red to liver (dark reddish brown). Merling is a lighter shade of the base color. The blue merle and black have black pigmentation on nose, lips, and eye-rims; the red/liver merle and red/liver have liver pigmentation on nose, lips, and eye-rims. The nose must be fully pigmented. (Small un-pigmented areas on the nose are not faulted on dogs less than one year of age.) White trim when present shall not be the dominant color and must be restricted to the following locations: On the head: White trim, when present, may appear on the muzzle, the cheeks, between the eyes, the topskull, or a combination of all. The ears and the area around the eyes must be completely covered by a color other than white. On the body: white, when present, may appear on the neck and forequarters, the white hairline on the neck must not extend into the body beyond the point of withers, and when present on the forequarters may not extend into the body (the area between withers and tail, on sides between elbows and back of hindquarters). White trim may appear on the underside of the chest, the belly, and on the hind legs but must not extend onto the side or flank.

Faults: White when present on the ear or touching the eye shall be increasingly faulted in proportion to the amount present. Pink when present on the nose or eye rim shall be increasingly faulted in proportion to the amount present.

DISQUALIFICATIONS: Any color other than the four recognized colors. White on the body (the area between withers and tail, on sides between elbows and back of hindquarters) whether it appears as splash (es), isolated spot(s), patch(es), or an extension from trim. A completely un-pigmented (pink) nose shall also be disqualified.

To:

COLOR: All colors are strong, clear, and rich. The recognized colors are solid black, blue merle, solid red/liver, and red/liver merle; all with or without white markings and/or tan (copper) points with no order of preference. White and/or copper trim is never required. Blacks and blue merles have a base color of jet black; reds and red merles have a base color of dark/rich red to liver (dark reddish brown). Merling is a lighter shade of the base color. The blue merle and black have black pigmentation on nose, lips, and eye-rims; the red/liver merle and red/liver have liver pigmentation on nose, lips, and eye-rims. The nose must be fully pigmented. (Small un-pigmented areas on the nose are not faulted on dogs less than one year of age.) White shall not be the dominant color and must be restricted to the following locations: On the head: White trim may appear on the muzzle, the cheeks, between the eyes, the topskull, or a combination of all. The ears and the area around the eyes must be completely covered by a color other than white. On the body: white trim may appear on the neck and forequarters, on the underline, and on the hind legs but must not extend onto the side or flank. White on the neck, measured at the hairline, must not extend into the body beyond the point of the withers, and when present on the forequarters may not extend into the body (the area between withers and tail).

Faults: White (measured at the hairline/skin) on the ear or touching the eye and any white extending beyond allowable trim shall be increasingly faulted in proportion to the amount present. Pink on the nose or eye rim shall be increasingly faulted in proportion to the amount present.

Disqualifications: Any color other than the four recognized colors. White hairline on the forequarters extending beyond the point of the withers. White patch or patches on the body. A completely un-pigmented (pink) nose.

Rationale: This modification gives greater clarification to the amount of white allowed, where it can occur and how it is measured. Greater detail was added in the Fault and Disqualification sections to prevent current champions from being faulted or disqualified.

Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve: McClintock, Clayton, Gann, Bates, Vest, Gray, Ford and Dexter. Absent: Hellmeister. *Motion Approved*

2010:04 CONFORMATION JUDGES - Judge Move ups

Motion: Ford

I move that the following conformation judges move up.

Applying for Provisional Breeder Judge

Betsy J Atkinson #450
1799 RT 91
Fabius, NY 13063
(315)683-5627

Jennifer D Cannon #4858
1348 West Natasha Circle
Riverton, UT 84065
(801)440-0331

Applying for Breeder Judge

Kelly English #4677
200 North Market St.
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055
(717)697-2710

Ronnie Bates #4751
245 Delia Pease Rd.
Carrollton, GA 30117
(770)214-1564

Melissa Borde #4421
N4815 Traut Rd.
Rio, WI 53960
(920)992-3880

Sue Fullington #4518
6224 FM 799
Beeville, TX 78102
(361)358-4354

Applying for Senior Breed Judge

Tina Smith-Lass #956
251 E. Boonslick Rd.
Jonesburg, MO 63351
(573)590-1134

Shawna Beaty #4352
15872 Puritan Circle
Huntington Beach, CA 92647
(714)891-1928

Ronald Moden #4392

6108 Dehesa Rd.
El Cajon, CA 92019
(619)659-5830

Voting on the enclosed motion went as follows: Approve All - McClintock, Gann, Vest, Ford, Gray and Dexter.
Approve All except abstain on Bates - Clayton. Approve All except abstain on Bates - Bates. Non-Voting -
Hellmeister. *All are approved*